

Bordeaux PharmacoEpi CIC Bordeaux CIC1401









# Outcomes according to tumor RAS and BRAF mutation status in patients treated with cetuximab in 1<sup>st</sup>-line treatment of unresectable metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC): updated results from EREBUS cohort

### D. Smith<sup>1</sup>, A. Sa Cunha<sup>2</sup>, M. Rouyer<sup>3</sup>, E. François<sup>4</sup>, A. Monnereau<sup>5</sup>, E. Yon<sup>3</sup>, E. Bignon<sup>3</sup>, P. Noize<sup>6</sup>, C. Droz-Perroteau<sup>3</sup>, N. Moore<sup>3,7</sup>, A. Fourrier-Réglat<sup>3,6,7</sup>

1: Hôpital Haut-Lévêque, CHU de Bordeaux, Pessac, France - 2: Hôpital P. Brousse, Villejuif, France - 3: INSERM CIC1401, Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France - 4: Centre Lacassagne, Nice, France - 5: Institut Bergonié, Bordeaux, France - 6: CHU Bordeaux, INSERM CIC1401, Bordeaux, France - 7: INSERM U1219, Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

### Background

université

Cetuximab had initially demonstrated improved survival outcomes in metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) with *KRAS* exon 2 wild-type (wt) and more recently no benefit in mCRC with *KRAS* (exon 3 and 4) or *NRAS* (exon 2, 3 and 4) mutation. *BRAF* mutation would also be an indicator of mCRC poor prognosis. Few data are available concerning cetuximab benefit in real-life practice according to tumor *RAS* and *BRAF* mutation status.

# Methods

Study design

- Observational cohort study, conducted in 65 French hospital centres
- Recruitment process, inclusion criteria and follow-up
- Identification of patients initiating cetuximab in 2009–2010 through hospital pharmacy registries
   Inclusion of KRASwt patients with unresectable mCRC, initiating cetuximab as 1<sup>st</sup>-line therapy
   Follow-up of 24 months from initiation date of cetuximab for PFS evaluation criterion, and 36 months for OS evaluation criterion

# **Objectives**

#### > EREBUS

- Estimate the 2-years metastases resection rate in patients initiating cetuximab as 1<sup>st</sup>-line treatment of initially unresectable mCRC
- Describe cetuximab use, safety, and effectiveness in real-life practice

#### > Ancillary study: analysis according to RAS and BRAF tumor mutation status

- Describe patient characteristics according to tumor mutation status: mutant RAS (RASmt), wild-type RAS and mutant BRAF (RASwt / BRAFmt), and double wild-type (RASwt / BRAFwt)
- Estimate progression-free survival (PFS), overall survival (OS), response and surgical resection of metastases rates according to tumor mutation status
- > Additional data for ancillary study
- Vital status at 5 years with participation of physicians in 50 centres
- Additional RAS (exons 2,3,4 KRAS and NRAS) and BRAF mutation testing and provided by 35 hospital molecular genetics platforms

# **Declaration of Interests**

This study was supported by an unconditional grant from MERCK SERONO S.A.S., an affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany.

### Results

#### > Study population

Among the 389 included patients, tissue sample from tumor or metastases was available to determine *RAS* tumor mutation status for 312 patients (80.2%), and *RAS/BRAF* tumor mutation status for 310 patients (79.7%).



#### Survival Outcomes

PFS was evaluated at 2 years of follow-up and OS at 5 years of follow-up in study populations (PFS: table 3, and figure 2; OS: table 3, and figure 3), and in operated patients with mCRC *RAS* mt and *RAS* wt / *BRAF* wt (PFS: table 4, and figure 4; OS: table 4, and figure 5).

#### ✓ Study populations:

Table 3. Survival outcomes of patients treated by cetuximab according to RAS and BRAF tumor mutation status

	<i>RAS</i> mt n = 64	RAS wt / BRAF mt n = 33	RAS wt / BRAF wt n = 213	
2-yr PFS probability, % [CI 95%]	8.9 [3.5 ; 17.6]	3.3 [0.3 ; 14.5]	12.9 [8.8 ; 17.8]	
Madian $\mathbf{DEC}$ months $[\mathbf{O}]   \mathbf{OE}[0/1]$				

Figure 1 : Identification and selection of study populations

#### Baseline characteristics of study populations

Baseline characteristics of patients are described according to RAS and BRAF tumor mutation status in Table 1.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients

	<i>RAS</i> mt n = 64	RAS wt / BRAF mt n = 33	RAS wt / BRAF wt n = 213
Median age at inclusion, years	64.5	64	63
[min – max]	[38 – 87]	[42 – 88]	[27 – 85]
Male, n (%)	40 (62.5)	21 (63.6)	147 (69.0)
Colon primary tumor, n (%)	44 (68.8)	25 (75.8)	163 (76.5)
ECOG = 0-1 Performance Status, n (%)	48 (75.0)	25 (75.8)	168 (78.9)
Exclusive liver metastases, n (%)	25 (39.1)	11 (33.3)	85 (39.9)
Median duration of cetuximab use, months	4.6	1.7	5.3
[p25% – p75%]	[1.8 – 7.6]	[0.8 - 6.0]	[2.5 – 9.7]
Median duration of concomitant chemotherapy use, months	4.9	3.4	6.3
[p25% – p75%]	[2.3 – 9.0]	[1.4 - 6.4]	[3.4 – 11.0]

Median OS, months [Cl 95%]	18.4 [10.9 ; 23.3]	9.7 [6.9 ; 16.6]	29.3 [26.3; 36.1]
5-yr OS probability, % [CI 95%]	11.9 [5.2 ; 21.6]	0.0 [. ; .]	27.1 [21.1 ; 33.5]
wedian PFS, months [CI 95 /0]	0.0 [5.9, 9.3]	0.0 [2.3, 7.2]	10.4 [9.5, 11.0]



Figure 2. PFS according to RAS and BRAF tumor mutation status (Kaplan-Meier method)

Figure 3. OS according to RAS and BRAF tumor mutation status (Kaplan-Meier method)

#### ✓ Operated patients:

Table 4. Survival outcomes of operated patients and mCRC RAS mt and RAS wt / BRAF wt treated by cetuximab

	<i>RAS</i> mt n = 64	<i>RAS</i> wt / <i>BRAF</i> wt n = 213
2-yr PFS probability, % [CI 95%]	16.7 [2.7 ; 41.3]	23.2 [14.4 ; 33.3]
Median PFS, months [Cl 95%]	11.0 [8.1; 21.2]	13.6 [11.6 ;15.3]
5-yr OS probability, % [Cl 95%]	41.7 [15.3 ; 66.5]	50.5 [38.5; 61.4]
Median OS, months [CI 95%]	38.9 [20.5; 57.3]	Not reached

Nutant RAS
Wild-type RAS and wild-type BRAF



#### Response to treatment and metastases resection rates

#### Objective response to treatment and metastases resection rates are described in Table 2.

Table 2. Objective response to treatment and metastases resection rate according to tumor mutation status

	<i>RAS</i> mt n = 64	RAS wt / BRAF mt n = 33	RAS wt / BRAF wt n = 213
Objective response to treatment before surgery (partial + complete response), n (%)	26 (40.6)	10 (30.3)	131 (62.4)
[CI 95%]	[28.5 ; 53.6]	[15.6 ; 48.7]	[55.9 ; 68.9]
Metastases resection (whatever surgery result: CR RFq <sup>2</sup> , R0, R1 or R2), n (%)	12 (18.8)	2 (6.1)	75 (35.2)
[CI 95%]	[10.1 ; 30.5] <sup>1</sup>	[0.7 ; 20.2] <sup>1</sup>	[28.8 ; 41.6]
Complete response rate after surgery (R0, R1 or CR RFq <sup>2</sup> ), n (%)	8 (12.5)	2 (6.1)	47 (22.1)
[CI 95%]	[5.6 ; 23.2]	[0.7 ; 20.2]	[16.5 ; 27.6]



Figure 4. PFS in operated patients with mCRC RAS mt statusFigure 5. OS in operated patients with mCRC RAS mt statusand RAS wt / BRAF wt status (Kaplan-Meier method)and RAS wt / BRAF wt status (Kaplan-Meier method)

## Conclusion

In line with RCTs findings, these results support in real-life practice, the difference of benefit associated to tumoral *KRAS*, *NRAS*, and *BRAF* mutations in patients with unresectable mCRC treated with cetuximab as 1<sup>st</sup>- line treatment.

ESMO – 19th World Congress on Gastrointestinal Cancer – 28 June - 1<sup>st</sup> July 2017