Outcomes of cetuximab in first-line therapy for metastatic colorectal cancer according to tumor RAS-BRAF mutation status from an update of EREBUS cohort

M. Rouyer¹, P. Noize^{1,2,3}, D. Smith³, E. François⁴, A. Sa Cunha⁵, A. Monnereau^{2,6}, J. Jové¹, E. Bignon¹, C. Droz-Perroteau¹, N. Moore^{1,2,3}, A. Fourrier-Réglat^{1,2,3}

¹ Bordeaux PharmacoEpi, CIC1401, Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France – ² INSERM U1219, Bordeaux, France – ³ CHU de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France ⁴ Centre Lacassagne, Nice, France – ⁵ Hôpital Paul Brousse, Villejuif, France – ⁶ Institut Bergonié, Bordeaux, France

Abstract



o'deaux

OED O

narn

4Jeyd xnea

0

Background: Poor efficacy has been recently reported for patients with *RAS/BRAF* mutation (mt) treated with cetuximab. There are few data about cetuximab benefit in real-life according to tumor RAS/BRAF

Objectives: To evaluate objective response rate (ORR), metastases resection rate, progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) according to tumor mt status: RASmt (whatever BRAFmt status), RASwt/BRAFmt and RASwt/ BRAFwt (i.e. 2xWT).

Methods: EREBUS is a multicenter (n=65) cohort study of *KRAS* wild-type (wt) unresectable metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) patients initiating cetuximab as 1stline treatment from 2009 to 2010, followed for 2 years (up to 5 years for vital status). Kaplan-Meier method was used to describe 2-year PFS and 5-year OS. The association between the tumor mt status and the 1-year progression or the 3-year death was evaluated using multivariate Cox analyses adjusted on prognostic factors.

Results: 389 patients were included; tumor mt status was known for 310 (80%): 64

Background

Cetuximab had initially demonstrated improved survival outcomes in metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) with KRAS exon 2 wild-type (wt). More recently, no benefit in mCRC with KRAS (exon 3 and 4) or NRAS (exon 2, 3 and 4) mutation was observed. BRAF mutation would also be an indicator of mCRC poor prognosis. Few data are available concerning cetuximab benefit in real-life practice according to tumor RAS and BRAF mutation status.

Objectives

EREBUS study

Methods

Study design

 Observational cohort study conducted in 65 French hospital centers.

Recruitment process, inclusion criteria and follow-up

- Identification of patients initiating cetuximab in 2009 and 2010 through hospital nominative dispensations.
- Inclusion of KRASwt patients with unresectable mCRC, initiating cetuximab as 1st-line therapy.
- 2-year follow-up from initiation date of cetuximab (5-year for OS).

Additional data for secondary analysis

• Additional RAS (exons 2,3,4 KRAS and NRAS) and BRAF mutation status provided by 35 hospital molecular genetics

RASmt (21%), 33 RASwt/BRAFmt (11%) and 213 2xWT (69%). Respective baseline characteristics were: median age 65 years, 64 years and 63 years, male gender 63%, 64% and 69%, ECOG-PS 0-1 75%, 76% and 79%, liver only metastases 39%, 33% and 40%. ORR was 40.6% 95%CI [28.5-53.6] in RASmt patients, 30.3% [15.6-48.7] in RASwt/BRAFmt patients and 62.4% [55.8-69.0] in 2xWT patients. Metastases resection was performed in 12 RASmt patients (18.8% [10.1-30.5]; 8 radical resections R0/R1/ radiofrequency), 2 RASwt/BRAFmt patients (6.1% [0.7-20.2]; 2 radical resections), and 75 2xWT patients (35.2% [28.8-41.6]; 47 radical resections). Median PFS (months) was 8.0 [5.9-9.3] in RASmt patients, 6.0 [2.3-7.2] in RASwt/BRAFmt patients, and 10.4 [9.5-11.0] in 2xWT patients. Median OS (months) was 18.4 [10.9-23.3] in RASmt patients, 9.7 [6.9-16.6] in RASwt/BRAFmt patients and 29.3 [26.3-36.1] in 2xWT patients. In adjusted multivariate analyses, progression (HR=2.69 [1.78-4.07]) and death (HR=3.13 [2.04-4.79]) were more likely for RASwt/BRAFmt patients vs. 2xWT patients. In reference to 2xWT patients, HR for progression was 1.41 [0.98-2.04] (p=0.0618) for RASmt/BRAFwt patients and HR for death 1.63 [1.13-2.35].

Conclusions: EREBUS confirms in real-life the difference in clinical outcomes with tumoral RAS/BRAF mutation in unresectable mCRC treated with 1st-line cetuximab, showing the greatest effectiveness in 2xWT patients.

- Estimate the 2-year metastases resection rate in patients initiating cetuximab as 1st-line treatment of initially unresectable mCRC.
- Describe cetuximab use, safety, and effectiveness in real-life practice.

Secondary analysis according to RAS and BRAF tumor mutation status

- Describe patients characteristics according to tumor mutation status: mutant RAS (RAS mt), wild-type RAS and mutant BRAF (RAS wt / BRAF mt), and double wild-type (RAS wt / BRAF wt).
- Estimate progression-free survival (PFS), overall survival (OS), tumor response and metastases resection rates according to tumor mutation status.

90

70

60

Identify factors associated to PFS and OS.

platforms.

Statistical analysis

- Kaplan-Meier method was used to describe PFS and OS.
- The risks of progression and death were evaluated by multivariate analyses adjusted on prognostic factors at 1-year and 3-year follow-up. The tested variables at baseline were: RAS and BRAF tumor mutation, ECOG score, metastatic sites, initial resectability criteria of metastases, administration schedule of cetuximab. The time-dependent variables were: surgery of primary tumor, surgery of metastases, 2nd line treatment.

Conflict of interest statement

The EREBUS study was carried out by the Bordeaux PharmacoEpi platform and supervised by a Scientific Committee. This project was funded by an unconditional financial support from Merck Santé S.A.S., an affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany.

Results

Study population

Among the 389 included patients, tissue sample from tumor or metastases was available for 312 patients (80.2%), and RAS/BRAF tumor mutation status for 310 patients (79.7%).



Tissue sample from tumor or metastases not available or no molecular genetics platform identified n = 21

- Survival outcomes and multivariate analyses adjusted on prognostic factors
 - Progression Free Survival (PFS)

— Mutant RAS

Wild-type RAS and mutant BRAF

Wild-type RAS and wild-type BRAF

Table 3. PFS of patients treated by cetuximab according to RAS/BRAF tumor mutation status

2-year PFS probability, % [95% CI]	<i>RAS</i> mt n = 64	<i>RAS</i> wt / <i>BRAF</i> mt n = 33	<i>RAS</i> wt / <i>BRAF</i> wt n = 213	
	8.9 [3.5 ; 17.6]	3.3 [0.3 ; 14.5]	12.9 [8.8 ; 17.8]	
Median PFS, months [95% CI]	8.0 [5.9 ; 9.3]	6.0 [2.3 ; 7.2]	10.4 [9.5 ; 11.0]	



tumor mutation status (Kaplan-Meier method)

2.69 [1.78 - 4.07] < 0.0001 RASwt/BRAFmt RASmt/BRAFwt 1.41 [0.98 - 2.04] 0.0618 < 0.0001 ECOG performance status 0-1 2.36 [1.67 - 3.31] >= 2 Hazard ratio (95% confidence interval) 290 patients : 190 with progressio and 100 without progression Months

EREBUS Cohort (N=290 *)

Mutation

RASwt/BRAFwt

Figure 2. PFS at 2-year follow-up according to RAS and BRAF Figure 3. Factors associated with risk of progression at 1-year follow-up after the 1^{-st} line treatment initiation (Multivariate Cox model)

Figure 5. Factors associated with risk of death at 3-year follow-

up after the 1^{-st} line treatment initiation (Multivariate Cox model)

HR [95% Cl] p-value

< 0.0001

Overall Survival (OS)

Figure 4. OS at 5-year follow-up according to RAS and BRAF

tumor mutation status (Kaplan-Meier method)

Table 4. OS of patients treated by cetuximab according to RAS/BRAF tumor mutation status

	<i>RAS</i> mt n = 64	<i>RAS</i> wt / <i>BRAF</i> mt n = 33	<i>RAS</i> wt / <i>BRAF</i> wt n = 213
5-year OS probability, % [95% CI] Median OS, months [95% CI]	11.9 [5.2 ; 21.6] 18.4 [10.9 ; 23.3]	0.0 9.7 [6.9 ; 16.6]	27.1 [21.1 ; 33.5] 29.3 [26.3 ; 36.1]
100 T	Int RAS	EREBUS Cohort (N=290 *)	HR [95% CI] p-valu
	—type RAS and mutant BRAF —type RAS and wild—type BRAF	Mutation RASwt/BRAFwt RASwt/BRAFmt BASwt/BRAFmt	<pre>< 0.0 1 3.13 [2.04 - 4.79] < 0.0 1 63 [1 13 - 2.35] 0.000</pre>

Figure 1. Identification and selection of study groups

Baseline characteristics of study groups

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients according to RAS/BRAF tumor mutation status

	<i>RAS</i> mt n = 64	RAS wt / BRAF mt n = 33	RAS wt / BRAF wt n = 213
Median age at inclusion, years	64.5	64	63
[min – max]	[38 – 87]	[42 – 88]	[27 – 85]
Male, n (%)	40 (62.5)	21 (63.6)	147 (69.0)
Colon primary tumor, n (%)	44 (68.8)	25 (75.8)	163 (76.5)
ECOG = 0-1 Performance Status, n (%)	48 (75.0)	25 (75.8)	168 (78.9)
Exclusive liver metastases, n (%)	25 (39.1)	11 (33.3)	85 (39.9)
Median duration of cetuximab use, months	4.6	1.7	5.3
[p25% – p75%]	[1.8 – 7.6]	[0.8 - 6.0]	[2.5 – 9.7]
Median duration of chemotherapy use, months	4.9	3.4	6.3
[p25% – p75%]	[2.3 – 9.0]	[1.4 – 6.4]	[3.4 – 11.0]



> Tumor response and metastases resection rates

Table 2. Tumor response and metastases resection rates according to RAS/BRAF tumor mutation status

	<i>RAS</i> mt	RAS wt / BRAF mt	RAS wt / BRAF wt
	n = 64	n = 33	n = 213
Partial and complete tumor response before surgery, n (%)	26 (40.6)	10 (30.3)	131 (62.4)
[95% Cl]	[28.5 ; 53.6]	[15.6 ; 48.7]	[55.9 ; 68.9]
Metastases resection ¹ , n (%)	12 (18.8)	2 (6.1)	75 (35.2)
[95% CI]	[10.1 ; 30.5] ¹	[0.7 ; 20.2] ¹	[28.8 ; 41.6]
Complete response rate after surgery ² , n (%)	8 (12.5)	2 (6.1)	47 (22.1)
[95% CI] ³	[5.6 ; 23.2]	[0.7 ; 20.2]	[16.5 ; 27.6]

¹ whatever surgery result: Complete response after radiofrequency ablation, R0, R1 or R2

² Complete response after radiofrequency ablation, R0 or R1

³ CI 95% Clopper-Pearson

université de **BORDEAUX**







34th ICPE International Society for Pharmacoepidemiology August 22-26, 2018, Prague, Czech Republic [abstract # 2053]

Conclusion

EREBUS confirms in real-life the difference in clinical outcomes with tumoral RAS/ **BRAF** mutation in unresectable mCRC treated with 1st-line cetuximab, showing the greatest effectiveness in double wild-type (RASwt / BRAFwt) patients.